Many different groups face discrimination for a variety of reasons such as age, gender, race, sexual orientation, religion, and disability status. Most people view these kinds of discrimination as unfair and unethical. People living with arthritis face their own form of discrimination.

People living with certain diseases often face unfair and unequal treatment based on the disease they have. Arthritis is one of those diseases. Specifically, not all Canadians have the same access to biologic response modifier medications ("biologics"), which are the gold standard for treating rheumatoid arthritis (RA). How many biologics are covered? How soon do people have access to them after onset of RA symptoms? How many hoops do they have to jump through or can they be reimbursed for the medications? All of these critical factors depend on the province or territory in which they live. People living with RA are at the whim of their provincial or territorial government’s decision to make these medications easily available to those who need them most.

Biologics represent a major breakthrough in RA...
management and are especially effective in treating the disease within six weeks after it first appears. They are also effective for those who respond poorly to traditional disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs), including methotrexate. Many people have experienced complete clinical control of RA and an end to progressive joint damage while taking biologics. However, no two people respond to a biologic therapy in the same way.

Each individual’s disease is different and requires a unique treatment plan. The clinician and patient must weigh the potential risks and benefits that go with each biologic. For that reason, it is critical that clinicians have access to different biologics, so they may supply the best treatment plan to their patients. Unfortunately, biologic reimbursement coverage varies among the provinces and territories.

To address this discrimination, doctors who specialize in treating rheumatoid arthritis (RA) — rheumatologists — and Arthritis Consumer Experts (ACE) published a national paper in 2010 with two important objectives:

- To provide a rationale allowing specialist physicians to prescribe the biologic best suited to an individual RA patient whenever it is seen that it would give the best possible outcome
- To alert government decision-makers to the fact that access to biologics is unequal across Canada and to the limited ability of patients and physicians to choose the most appropriate biologic to treat RA.

That paper was called Leveling the Field in Canada: Moving Toward Reimbursement Equality in Biologic Therapy for Canadians with Rheumatoid Arthritis.

With the same objectives, a second edition of that paper was released this month.

In the last two years, some jurisdictions have made it easier for patients to access biologics, but challenges remain. Unequal access across the country remains an issue, and so do delays and barriers within the public plans. Also, public drug plan transparency remains a concern. For example, no public drug plans publish information regarding how quickly the RA biologics can be accessed. This information should be available to all patients and clinicians, with regular and timely updates.

**Same objectives; New issue**

The new version of “Leveling the Field” also draws attention to the issues surrounding the emergence of subsequent entry biologics (SEBs) for the treatment of RA.

As patents expire for brand name biologics (like Enbrel®, Remicade® and Humira®), SEBs are being developed. They are meant to be similar enough to the reference product that there is no clinically meaningful difference between them when it comes to safety, purity and effectiveness. However, biologics are very complex and not easily copied and the problem is deciding when a copy is good enough to be used in place of the original.

Clinical trials of SEBs are ongoing in Canada at this time. While the paper’s authors welcome the advent of new therapies, they are concerned that the inclusion of SEBs will contribute to an already unfair system. Some of the concerns raised include:

- Will the drug be as effective as the reference drug?
- Will it be as safe as the reference drug, both in the short and long term?
- Will it be as well tolerated as the reference drug?
- Will the rates of infusion and/or injection site reactions be similar?
- If a SEB is substituted for a prescribed drug, will this have any adverse impact?
- How will the pricing of SEB products affect the overall price of the RA biologic class?
- Where will the therapy be administered and will they require similar co-medications to the reference drug?

To address the challenge of properly including SEBs for the treatment of RA patients in Canada, the paper recommends the following:

- That there be a national approved brand name biologic to which the SEB in question can be compared for similarity.
- That physicians and patients should remain free to select the most appropriate biological therapy based on the patients’ needs and its history of safe use and clinical response.

### Why is equal coverage throughout the provinces and territories so important?

Rheumatoid arthritis is a debilitating autoimmune disease that progressively erodes the synovial joints and the surrounding tissues. If left untreated, it causes irreversible joint damage, chronic pain, and loss of joint function, all of which lead to lowered quality of life and reduced life expectancy. Joint damage begins within six weeks of onset of RA symptoms, therefore it is vital that all Canadians have quick access to these medications. Though reimbursement for a biologic is approved in those patients with RA where treatment criteria have been met, the process for meeting them is not equal across all the provinces and territories. It is a form of discrimination, in which some people, depending on where they live in Canada, may lose out on the benefits that other Canadians may have.

### What can you do to help level the field in Canada?

Biologics are an important part of an RA treatment strategy. As Canadians, we ALL deserve the right to be healthy and lead our lives as fully as we can, in spite of our disease. Unfortunately, each one of us who lives with rheumatoid arthritis, is at the whim of our provincial or territorial health ministry’s choice whether to provide us with the same access to the same biologics that other Canadians have. But we elected the politicians that decide our fates and so they will listen to us, if our voices are loud enough.

There are many things we can do to help them hear us say, “We have the right to equal coverage of biologics across Canada”:

- **Write a letter to the Minister of Health and your elected representative in your province or territory to advocate for better and equal coverage.**
- **Share the information in this issue of JointHealth™ monthly with your rheumatologist or the health professional who helps you manage your arthritis**
- **Share the information in this special edition of JointHealth™ monthly with others in your arthritis community.**
- **Encourage others you know with arthritis, and their family members and friends, to share this important information with their local elected official.**

For more advocacy options, go to www.jointhealth.org
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SEB products will produce the same clinical result identical to the original biologic, but the protein's amino acid sequence must be. It is expected that SEB products will produce the same clinical result.

What’s the difference between a SEB and a generic medication?

The scientific content of this paper has previously been published in Clinical Rheumatology (2010) 29:233–239, Clinical Rheumatology (2012) 31:1289–1292 and is incorporated here with permission.

More about biologics

Biologics are engineered to target and block specific disease pathways responsible for the inflammation and joint destruction seen in rheumatoid arthritis. They are complex molecules derived from unique living organisms and cell lines. They are made with the aid of DNA recombination technology and secreted by cells, bacteria or plants, which have incorporated the appropriate genes. The medications are then harvested from the secretions. Making them is a complicated process.

The molecules themselves are composed of a large number of molecular components and are of a large molecular weight, which is why they are administered by injection or intravenously (directly into a vein). Small differences in the production of biologics can yield vastly different products with unique effectiveness and safety implications.

There are no studies that have compared biologics to one another. However, statistical data has shown that no one biologic is superior to the other. This may be because RA behaves differently in every patient, and therefore each patient has a different response to each biologic.
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About Arthritis Consumer Experts

Who we are
Arthritis Consumer Experts (ACE) provides research-based education, advocacy, leadership and information to Canadians with arthritis. We help empower people living with all forms of arthritis to take control of their disease and to take action in healthcare and research decision making. ACE activities are guided by its members and led by people with arthritis, leading medical professionals and the ACE Advisory Board. To learn more about ACE, visit www.jointhealth.org

Guiding Principles
Healthcare is a human right. Those in healthcare, especially those who stand to gain from the ill health of others, have a moral responsibility to examine what they do, its long-term consequences and to ensure that all may benefit. The support of this should be shared by government, citizens, and non-profit and for-profit organizations. This is not only equitable, but is the best means to balance the influence of any specific constituency and a practical necessity. Any profit from our activities is re-invested in our core programs for Canadians with arthritis.

To completely insulate the agenda, the activities, and the judgments of our organization from those of organizations supporting our work, we put forth our abiding principles:
• ACE only requests unrestricted grants from private and public organizations to support its core program.
• ACE employees do not receive equity interest or personal “in-kind” support of any kind from any health-related organization.

• ACE discloses all funding sources in all its activities.
• ACE identifies the source of all materials or documents used.
• ACE develops positions on health policy, products or services in collaboration with arthritis consumers, the academic community and healthcare providers and government free from concern or constraint of other organizations.
• ACE employees do not engage in any personal social activities with supporters.
• ACE does not promote any “brand”, product or program on any of its materials or its website, or during any of its educational programs or activities.

Thanks
ACE thanks the Arthritis Research Centre of Canada (ARC) for its scientific review of JointHealth™.
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Disclaimer
The material contained in this or any other ACE publication is provided for general information only. It should not be relied on to suggest a course of treatment for a particular individual or as a substitute for consultation with qualified health professionals who are familiar with your individual medical needs. If you have any healthcare related questions or concerns, you should contact your physician. Never disregard medical advice or delay in seeking it because of something you have read in any ACE publication.